arXiv:1609.09343v1 [math.AG] 29 Sep 2016

The automorphism group of some Galois covers of the Suzuki and Ree curves

M. Giulietti, M. Montanucci, L. Quoos, G. Zini

Abstract

We determine the full automorphism group of two recently constructed families $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ of maximal curves over finite fields. These curves are covers of the Suzuki and Ree curves, and are analogous to the Giulietti-Korchmáros cover of the Hermitian curve. We also show that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is not Galois covered by the Hermitian curve maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ for any q, and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is not Galois covered by the Hermitian curve maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$ for many q.

1 Introduction

For any prime power ℓ, let $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ be the finite field with ℓ elements, and $\mathcal{X}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-rational curve, i.e. a projective, absolutely irreducible, non-singular algebraic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. The curve $\mathcal{X}$ is called $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-maximal if the number $|\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)|$ of its $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-rational points attains the Hasse-Weil upper bound $\ell+1+2g\sqrt{\ell}$, where g is the genus of $\mathcal{X}$. Important examples of maximal curves over suitable finite fields are the so-called Deligne-Lusztig curves, namely the Hermitian, Suzuki, and Ree curves. All these curves have a large automorphism group when compared with their genus, they do not satisfy the classical Hurwitz bound $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \le 84(g-1)$ and have being intensively studied in the last decades. Determining subfields of their function fields as well the automorphism group of these subfields (see [2, 5, 8]), connections with class field theory (see [13]) and applications in coding theory (see [10, 15]) are some subjects of interest, see also [9] for more on algebraic curves and references therein.

Let q_0 be any prime power and $q = q_0^2$. Giulietti and Korchmáros [6] constructed the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q$ which is $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$-maximal and can be defined by the affine equations

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q : \begin{cases} W^m = X^q - X \\ X^{q_0+1} = Y^{q_0} + Y \end{cases},$$

where $m = q - q_0 + 1$ (see also [4, Remark 2.1]). Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q$ is a Galois cover of the Hermitian curve $\mathcal{H}_{q_0} : X^{q_0+1} = Y^{q_0} + Y$, a $\mathbb{F}_{q_0^2}$-maximal curve with automorphism

group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_{q_0}) \cong PGU(3, q_0)$. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ has a normal subgroup of index $d := \gcd(3, m)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(3, q_0) \times C_{m/d}$, where $\mathrm{SU}(3, q_0)$ is the special unitary group over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $C_{m/d}$ is a cyclic group of order m/d.

Analogously, Skabelund [17] constructed Galois covers of the Suzuki and Ree curves as follows. Let $q_0 = 2^s$ with $s \geq 1$ and $q = 2q_0^2 = q_0^{2s+1}$. The curve

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q : \begin{cases} W^m = X^q + X \\ Y^q + Y = X^{q_0}\left(X^q + X\right) \end{cases},$$

where $m = q - 2q_0 + 1$, is $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$-maximal. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is a Galois cover of the Suzuki curve

$$\mathcal{S}_q : Y^q + Y = X^{q_0}\left(X^q + X\right).$$

Now let $q_0 = 3^s$ with $s \geq 1$ and $q = 3q_0^2 = q_0^{2s+1}$. The curve

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q : \begin{cases} W^m = X^q - X \\ Z^q - Z = X^{2q_0}\left(X^q - X\right) \\ Y^q - Y = X^{q_0}\left(X^q - X\right) \end{cases},$$

where $m = q - 3q_0 + 1$, is $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$-maximal. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is a Galois cover of the Ree curve

$$\mathcal{R}_q : \begin{cases} Z^q - Z = X^{2q_0}\left(X^q - X\right) \\ Y^q - Y = X^{q_0}\left(X^q - X\right) \end{cases}.$$

Also in [17] the automorphism groups $S(q)$(Suzuki group) and $R(q)$(Ree group) of the S_q and R_q curves where lifted to subgroups of the full automorphism groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{S}_q)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{R}_q)$ of the covers $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ respectively. We show that the lifted groups constructed are actually the full automorphism groups of the curves $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$. More specifically we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is a direct product $\tilde{S}(q) \times C_m$, where $\tilde{S}(q)$ is isomorphic to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_q)$ and C_m is a cyclic group of order $m = q - 2q_0 + 1$.*

Theorem 2. *The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is a direct product $\tilde{R}(q) \times C_m$, where $\tilde{R}(q)$ is isomorphic to the Ree group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_q)$ and C_m is a cyclic group of order $m = q - 3q_0 + 1$.*

Theorems 1 and 2 will be proved by means of results on finite automorphism groups. In particular, we will use results on curves having automorphism groups for which the classical Hurwitz bound does not hold. We also prove the following results.

Theorem 3. *For any q, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is not Galois covered by $\mathcal{H}_{q^2}$.*

Theorem 4. *For $q \le 3^{21}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is not Galois covered by $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}$.*

This paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 summarize the preliminary results on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$. Sections 4 and 5 prove Theorems 1 and 2, respectively. Section 6 proves Theorems 3 and 4.

2 Preliminary results on the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$

For $s \ge 1$ and $q = 2q_0^2 = 2^{2s+1}$, the Suzuki curve $\mathcal{S}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by the affine equation $Y^q + Y = X^{q_0}(X^q + X)$, has genus $q_0(q-1)$ and is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$. The automorphism group $S(q) := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_q)$ of $\mathcal{S}_q$ is isomorphic to the Suzuki group ${}^2B_2(q)$. We state some other properties of $S(q)$ that will be used in the paper; see [8] for more details.

- $S(q)$ has size $(q^2+1)q^2(q-1)$ and is a simple group.
- $S(q)$ is generated by the stabilizer

$$S(q)_\infty = \left\{\psi_{a,b,c} : (x,y) \mapsto (ax+b, a^{q_0+1}y + b^{q_0}x + c) \,|\, a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq 0\right\}$$

  of the unique infinite place of $\mathcal{S}_q$, together with the involution $\phi : (x,y) \mapsto (\alpha/\beta, y/\beta)$, where $\alpha := y^{2q_0} + x^{2q_0+1}$ and $\beta := xy^{2q_0} + \alpha^{2q_0}$.
- $S(q)$ has exactly two short orbits on $\mathcal{S}_q$. One is non-tame of size q^2+1, consisting of all $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places; the other is tame of size $q^2(q-1)(q+2q_0+1)$, consisting of all places of degree 4. The group $S(q)$ acts 2-transitively on its non-tame short orbit, and the stabilizer $S(q)_{P,Q}$ of two distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places P and Q is tame and cyclic.
- $S(q)$ has subgroups which are inner semidirect products $\Sigma \rtimes C_4$. Here, Σ is a cyclic group (called Singer group) of order $q+2q_0+1$ or $q-2q_0+1$ which fixes four places of degree 4 and acts semiregularly on the other places of $\mathcal{S}_q$; C_4 is a cyclic group of order 4 which permutes transitively the four fixed places of Σ.

Skabelund [17, Sec. 3] introduced the $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$-maximal curve $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with affine equations

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q : \begin{cases} W^m = X^q + X \\ Y^q + Y = X^{q_0}(X^q + X) \end{cases},$$

where $m = q - 2q_0 + 1$.

The curve $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is a degree-m cyclic Galois cover of $\mathcal{S}_q$ and, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, has genus $\tilde{g} = \frac{1}{2}\left(q^3 - 2q^2 + q\right)$. The set $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ has size q^2+1. It consists of the places centered at the affine points of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ lying on the plane $W = 0$, together with the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational infinite place. These places correspond exactly to the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of S_q.

The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ admits the following subgroups:

- A cyclic group C_m generated by the automorphism $\gamma_\lambda : (x, y, w) \mapsto (x, y, \lambda w)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ is a primitive m-th root of unity.
- A group $LS(q)$ lifted by $S(q)$ generated by the automorphisms $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c}$ ($a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \neq 0$) together with an involution $\tilde{\phi}$. Here, $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c}(x, y) := \psi_{a,b,c}(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c}(w) := \delta w$, where $\delta^m = a$. Similarly, $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) := \phi(x, y)$, and $\tilde{\phi}(w) := w/\beta$ (see [17, Section 3]).

3 Preliminary results on the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$

For $s \geq 1$ and $q = 3q_0^2 = 3^{2s+1}$, the Ree curve $\mathcal{R}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by the affine equations

$$\mathcal{R}_q : \begin{cases} Z^q - Z = X^{2q_0}(X^q - X) \\ Y^q - Y = X^{q_0}(X^q - X) \end{cases},$$

has genus $\frac{3}{2}q_0(q-1)(q+q_0+1)$ and is maximal over $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$. The automorphism group $R(q) := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_q)$ is isomorphic to the simple Ree group ${}^2G_2(q)$. We state some other properties of $R(q)$ that will be used in the paper; see [16] and [17].

- $R(q)$ has size $(q^3+1)q^3(q-1)$.
- $R(q)$ is generated by the stabilizer

  $$R(q)_\infty = \{\psi_{a,b,c,d} \,|\, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq 0\},$$

  $\psi_{a,b,c,d} : (x, y, z) \mapsto (ax+b, a^{q_0+1}y + ab^{q_0}x + c, a^{2q_0+1}z - a^{q_0+1}b^{q_0}y + ab^{2q_0}x + d)$, of the unique infinite place of $\mathcal{R}_q$, together with the involution $\phi : (x, y, z) \mapsto (w_6/w_8, w_{10}/w_8, w_9/w_8)$, for certain polynomial functions $w_i \in \mathbb{F}_3[x, y, z]$.
- $R(q)$ has exactly two short orbits on $\mathcal{R}_q$. One is non-tame of size q^3+1, consisting of all $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places. The other is tame of size $q^3(q-1)(q+1)(q+3q_0+1)$, consisting of all places of degree 6.

The set $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ has size q^3+1. It consists of the places centered at the affine points of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ lying on the plane $W = 0$, together with the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational infinite place. Also, $\mathcal{R}_q$ has no places of degree 2 or 3.

Skabelund [17, Sec. 4] introduced the $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$-maximal curve $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with affine equations

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q : \begin{cases} W^m = X^q - X \\ Z^q - Z = X^{2q_0}(X^q - X) \\ Y^q - Y = X^{q_0}(X^q - X) \end{cases},$$

where $m = q - 3q_0 + 1$. The curve $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is a degree-m Galois cover of $\mathcal{R}_q$, with cyclic Galois group generated by $\gamma_\lambda : (x, y, z, w) \mapsto (x, y, z, \lambda w)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ is a primitive m-th root of unity. Then, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is $\frac{1}{2}(q^4 - 2q^3 + q)$.

The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ has the following subgroups:

- A cyclic group C_m generated by the automorphism $\gamma_\lambda : (x, y, w) \mapsto (x, y, \lambda w)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ is a primitive m-th root of unity;
- A group $LR(q)$ lifted by $R(q)$ and generated by the automorphisms $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c,d}$ $(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q,\ a \neq 0)$ together with the involution $\tilde{\phi}$. Here, $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c,d}(x, y, z) := \psi_{a,b,c,d}(x, y, z)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c,d}(w) := \delta w$, where $\delta^m = a$. Similarly, $\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) := \phi(x, y, z)$, and $\tilde{\phi}(w) := w/w_8$; see [17, Section 4].

4 The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$

We use the notations of Section 2.

Lemma 5. *The lifted group $LS(q)$ contains a subgroup $\tilde{S}(q)$ isomorphic to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_q)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta := \{(\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c})^m \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq 0\} \leq LS(q)$. By direct checking, the map $\psi_{a,b,c} \mapsto (\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c})^m$ is an isomorphism between $S(q)_\infty$ and Δ. Moreover, the action of Δ on the set $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is equivalent to the action of $S(q)_\infty$ on the non-tame short orbit of $S(q)$. Let $\tilde{S}(q)$ be the subgroup of $LS(q)$ generated by Δ and $\tilde{\phi}$. The action of $S(q)_\infty$ and ϕ on the non-tame short orbit of $S(q)$ is equivalent to the action of Δ and $\tilde{\phi}$ on $\mathcal{O}$, respectively; hence, Δ coincides with the stabilizer in $\tilde{S}(q)$ of a point in $\mathcal{O}$. This implies that $\tilde{S}(q)$ acts 2-transitively on $\mathcal{O}$ and the stabilizer in $\tilde{S}(q)$ of two distinct places of $\mathcal{O}$ is cyclic. Since $|\mathcal{O}|$ is not a power of 2, we have by [1, Theorem 1.7.6] that $\tilde{S}(q)$ has no regular normal subgroups. Therefore we apply [12, Theorem 1.1] to conclude that $\tilde{S}(q) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_q)$. □

Lemma 6. *The normalizer of C_m in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$ is the direct product $\tilde{S}(q) \times C_m$.*

Proof. It is easily checked that γ_λ commutes with $(\tilde{\psi}_{a,b,c})^m$ and with $\tilde{\phi}$ on the rational functions x, y and w. Therefore, $\tilde{S}(q) \times C_m$ is a subgroup of the normalizer N of C_m in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$; in particular, N/C_m has a subgroup isomorphic to $S(q)$. Also, the quotient curve $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q/C_m$ is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{S}_q$. Then N/C_m is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S(q)$. This implies that $N/C_m \cong S(q)$, whence the thesis. □

Corollary 7. *The group $LS(q)$ coincides with the normalizer of C_m in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$.*

Proof. The group C_m is contained in $LS(q)$ as it is generated by $\tilde{\psi}_{1,0,0}$. Also, C_m commutes with every element of $LS(q)$. Hence, the claim follows by Lemma 6. □

Being $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ an $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$-maximal curve, we can apply the results in [7] on zero 2-rank curves. By direct computations $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)| \geq |LS(q)| \geq 72(g(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q) - 1)$, then by [7, Theorem 5.1] we conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$ is non-solvable. Applying [7, Theorem 6.1], the commutator $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)'$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$ is one of the following groups:

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, n),\ \mathrm{PSU}(3, n),\ \mathrm{SU}(3, n),\ S(n) \quad \text{with} \quad n = 2^r \geq 4.$$

Also, $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)'$ contains $G' = \tilde{S}(q)$.

Lemma 8. $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)' = \tilde{S}(q)$.

Proof. Using that $\tilde{S}(q) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)'$, we discard the cases $\mathrm{PSL}(2,2^r)$, $\mathrm{PSU}(3,2^r)$, $\mathrm{SU}(3,2^r)$.

i) $\tilde{S}(q)$ has elements of order 4, while $\mathrm{PSL}(2,2^r)$ has not (see [11, Hauptsatz 8.27]). Hence, $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)' \neq \mathrm{PSL}(2,2^r)$.

ii) $\tilde{S}(q)$ has subgroups of type $\Sigma \rtimes C_4$, where Σ is generated by a tame element of order $q+2q_0+1$. On the contrary, in $\mathrm{PSU}(3,2^r)$ no non-tame element σ of order 4 can normalize a tame element τ; otherwise, σ acts on the fixed points of τ and in particular σ fixes a point P and a line ℓ not through P, which is impossible (see [14, Lemma 2.2]). Hence, $\tilde{G}' \neq \mathrm{PSU}(3,2^r)$.

iii) If $\tilde{G}' = \mathrm{SU}(3,2^r)$, then $\mathrm{SU}(3,2^r)$ has a subgroup of type $\Sigma \rtimes C_4$, where Σ is cyclic of order $q+2q_0+1$; this implies that $\mathrm{PSU}(3,2^r)$ has a subgroup of type $\bar{\Sigma} \rtimes C_4$, where $\bar{\Sigma}$ is cyclic of order $(q+2q_0+1)/\gcd(3,2^r+1)$. This is impossible as shown at point *ii*). Hence, $\tilde{G}' \neq \mathrm{SU}(3,2^r)$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)' = \tilde{S}(2^r)$. If $2^r > q$, then $2^r \geq q^3$ and by direct computation $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)'| > 8g(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)^3$; this is impossible by [9, Theorem 11.116]. Hence, $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)' = \tilde{S}(q)$. □

Theorem 1. *The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is a direct product $\tilde{S}(q) \times C_m$, where $\tilde{S}(q)$ is isomorphic to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_q)$ and C_m is a cyclic group of order $m = q - 2q_0 + 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8 and [7, Theorem 6.2], we have that $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q) \cong \tilde{S}(q) \times C$, where C is a cyclic group of odd order. More specifically, C is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)$ fixing pointwise the set $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$; in particular, $C_m \subseteq C$. Then $C = C_m$ by Corollary 7. □

Remark 9. *Theorem* 1 *shows that the automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is exactly the lifting $LS(q)$ obtained as a cyclic extension of the automorphism group of the Suzuki curve $\mathcal{S}_q$.*

5 The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$

We use the notations of Section 3 and start by recalling some results on large automorphism groups of curves that will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 10. ([9, Theorems 11.56 and 11.116]) *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 2$ such that $|Aut(\mathcal{X})| > 84(g-1)$. Then $Aut(\mathcal{X})$ has at most three short orbits as follows:*

i) exactly three short orbits, two tame and one non-tame and $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \leq 24g^2$;

ii) exactly two short orbits, both non-tame and $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \leq 16g^2$;

iii) only one short orbit which is non-tame and $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \leq g(2g-2)(4g+2)$ *(see* [9, page 515]*);*

iv) exactly two short orbits, one tame and one non-tame. In this case $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| < 8g^3$*, with the following exceptions (see* [9, Theorem 11.126]*):*

- $p = 2$ *and* $\mathcal{X}$ *is isomorphic to the hyperelliptic curve* $Y^2 + Y = X^{2^k+1}$ *with genus* 2^{k-1} *;*
- $p > 2$ *and* $\mathcal{X}$ *is isomorphic to the Roquette curve* $Y^2 = X^q - X$ *with genus* $(q-1)/2$ *;*
- $p \geq 2$ *and* $\mathcal{X}$ *is isomorphic to the Hermitian curve* $Y^{q+1} = X^q + X$ *with genus* $(q^2-q)/2$ *;*
- $p = 2$ *and* $\mathcal{X}$ *is isomorphic to the Suzuki curve* $Y^q + Y = X^{q_0}(X^q + X)$ *with genus* $q_0(q-1)$ *.*

Remark 11. *If* $\mathcal{X}$ *is the curve* $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ *and Case iv) of Theorem 10 occurs, then* $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| < 8g^3$*. In fact, since* $p = 3$ *and* $g = \frac{3}{2}q_0(q-1)(q+q_0+1)$*,* $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ *cannot satisfy any of the four exceptions.*

Theorem 12 provides a deeper analysis of Case *iv)* in Theorem 10; the bounds for the order of automorphism groups are taken from the proof of [9, Theorem 11.116].

Theorem 12. ([9, Theorem 11.116 and page 516]) *Suppose that Case iv) in Theorem* 10 *occurs. Then one of the following cases holds:*

1. $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \leq 8g(g-1)(g+1)$ *(see* [9, Eq. (11.169)]*).*
2. $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ *contains p-elements stabilizing two distinct places.*
3. $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})| \leq 8(g+1)(g-1)$ *(see* [9, pages 524-525]*).*
4. *The non-tame short orbit of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ *has length* $p^k + 1$ *for some* k *(see* [9, Lemma 11.123]*).*

Cases *1.*, *3.*, and *4.* in Theorem 12 correspond to Case (iv1), (iv4), and (iv5) in [9, page 516], respectively; Case *2.* in Theorem 12 corresponds to Cases (iv2) and (iv3) in [9, page 516].

In analogy with Section 4, the following results hold. The proofs of Lemma 13, Lemma 14, and Corollary 15 are analogous to the proofs of Lemma 5, Lemma 6, and Corollary 7 in Section 4.

Lemma 13. *The lifted group* $LR(q)$ *contains a subgroup* $\tilde{R}(q)$ *isomorphic to the Ree group* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_q)$*.*

Lemma 14. *The normalizer of* C_m *in* $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$ *is the direct product* $\tilde{R}(q) \times C_m$*.*

Corollary 15. *The group* $LR(q)$ *coincides with the normalizer of* C_m *in* $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$*.*

Proposition 16. *The group $LR(q)$ has exactly two short orbits $\mathcal{O}_T$ and $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$ in its action on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}_T$ is tame of size $(q^3+1)q^3(q-1)$, consisting of the places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ of degree 6; the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$ is non-tame, consisting of the q^3+1 $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$.*

Proof. The set $\mathcal{O}$ of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is the non-tame short orbit $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$ under $LR(q)$, since C_m acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}$.

Now, let $\mathcal{O}_T \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ be the set of places of degree 6; we prove that $\mathcal{O}_T$ is a tame short orbit under $LR(q)$. Let $P \in \mathcal{O}_T$. Since C_m is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$, the place $Q \in \mathcal{R}_q$ lying under P has degree 1, 2, 3, or 6. The places of $\mathcal{R}_q$ of degree 1 lie under a place in $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$, and $\mathcal{R}_q$ has no places of degree 2 or 3; therefore, Q has degree 6. By the fundamental equality [18, Theorem 3.1.11], we conclude that there are exactly m places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ of degree 6 lying over a place of $\mathcal{R}_q$ of degree 6. By the $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$-maximality of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$, we have that $|\mathcal{O}_T| = mq^3(q-1)(q+1)(q+3q_0+1)$; hence, $\mathcal{O}_T$ coincides with the set of places of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ lying over a place of $\mathcal{R}_q$ of degree 6.

To show that $LR(q)$ is transitive on $\mathcal{O}_T$, let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{O}_T$ with $P_1 \neq P_2$. If P_1 and P_2 are in the same C_m-orbit, the claim is proved. Otherwise, let Q_1 and Q_2 be the distinct places of $\mathcal{R}_q$ lying under P_1 and P_2, respectively. Since Q_1 and Q_2 are in the tame short orbit of $\mathcal{R}_q$ under $R(q)$, there exists $\sigma \in R(q)$ such that $\sigma(Q_1) = Q_2$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the induced automorphism of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$, and let $P_3 := \tilde{\sigma}(P_1)$. Then P_3 is in the C_m-orbit of P_2, because $\mathcal{S}_q$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q/C_m$. Let $\tau \in C_m$ with $\tau(P_3) = P_2$; then $\tau\tilde{\sigma}(P_1) = P_2$.

Since $R(q)$ acts semiregularly on the places of $\mathcal{R}_q$ of degree greater than 6, $LR(q)$ acts semiregularly on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q \setminus (\mathcal{O}_T \cup \mathcal{O}_{NT})$, and the thesis is proved. □

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}$ be the non-tame short orbit of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ under $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$ containing $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$.

Lemma 17. *The orbit $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{NT}$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{O}_{NT} \neq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}$.

Firstly, suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{NT}$ contains a long orbit under $LR(q)$. Then, for any $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational place $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| &= |\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}| \cdot |\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)_P| \geq |LR(q)| \cdot |LR(q)_P| \\ &\geq (q^3+1)q^3(q-1)m \cdot q^3(q-1)m > 8g^3, \end{aligned}$$

where g is the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ and $LR(q)_P$ denotes the stabilizer of the place P in the group $LR(q)$. Since $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| > 84(g-1)$, this is impossible by Theorem 10 and Remark 11. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{NT}$ contains a short orbit under $LR(q)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT} = \mathcal{O}_{NT} \cup \mathcal{O}_T$ by Proposition 16.

If $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)_P \neq LR(q)_P$, then $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)_P| \geq 2|LR(q)_P|$, and hence

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| = |\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}| \cdot |\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)_P| \geq |\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}| \cdot 2|LR(q)_P| \geq |\mathcal{O}_T| \cdot 2q^3(q-1)m > 8g^3,$$

which is impossible by Theorem 10. Therefore, $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)_P = LR(q)_P$. This implies

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| = |\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}| \cdot |LR(q)_P| = (q^3+1)q^3(q-1)(q-3q_0+1)(q^4-q^3+1).$$

Note that the order of $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)|$ is very close to $8g^3$.

Since $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| > g(2g-2)(4g+2)$, Cases *i)*, *ii)*, and *iii)* in Theorem 10 cannot occur, hence Case *iv)* holds and one of Cases *1.* - *4.* in Theorem 12 occurs.

- Since $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| > 8g(g-1)(g+1)$, Cases *1.* and *3.* cannot occur.
- Case *2.* cannot occur; in fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ has zero p-rank, and hence any p-element in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$ has exactly one fixed place.
- Case *4.* cannot occur, since $|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}| = (q^3+1)(q^4-q^3+1) \neq 3^k+1$ for any k.

□

Finally we prove Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *The automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{R}(q) \times C_m$, where $\tilde{R}(q) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_q)$ is the Ree group and C_m is a cyclic group of order m.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$, and define $T := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q) \mid \sigma(P) = P \text{ for all } P \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{NT}\}$ and $C'_m := \alpha C_m \alpha^{-1}$. Clearly, T contains C_m and C'_m. By [9, Lemma 11.129], T is a tame subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$, which implies that T is cyclic (see [9, Lemma 11.44]). Therefore $C'_m = C_m$, that is, C_m is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)$. Corollary 15 yields the thesis. □

Remark 18. *Theorem 2 shows that the automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is exactly the lifting described above and obtained as a cyclic extension of the automorphism group of the cyclic subcover $\mathcal{R}_q$.*

6 Non-existence of certain Galois coverings

Theorem 3. *For any q, the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is not Galois covered by the Hermitian curve $\mathcal{H}_{q^2}$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q$ is a Galois subcover of $\mathcal{H}_{q^2}$, that is $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q \cong \mathcal{H}_{q^2}/G$ with $G \leq \mathrm{PGU}(3,q^2)$. For $q=8$, the Galois subcover $\mathcal{S}_8$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_8$ is a Galois subcover of $\mathcal{H}_{8^2}$ as well. This is impossible by [14].

Let $q > 8$. The different divisor has degree

$$\Delta = (2g(\mathcal{H}_{q^2})-2) - |G|(2g(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)-2) = q^4-q^2-2-|G|(q^3-2q^2+q-2)\,. \quad (1)$$

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula,

$$\frac{q^6+1}{q^5-q^4+q^3+1} = \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{q^2}(\mathbb{F}_{q^4})|}{|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^4})|} \leq |G| \leq \frac{2g(\mathcal{H}_{q^2})-2}{2g(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_q)-2} = \frac{q^4-q^2-2}{q^3-2q^2+q-2}\,,$$

hence $q+1 \leq |G| \leq q+2$. In particular $|G| = q+1$, because $|G|$ divides $|\mathrm{PGU}(3,q^2)| = (q^6+1)q^6(q^4-1)$ and $q > 8$. By [14, Theorem 2.7], we have $\Delta = q \cdot 2$. This contradicts Equation (1), which reads $\Delta = q^3 + q$. □

Now consider the curve $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$. Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q \cong \mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$ for some $G \leq \mathrm{PGU}(3,q^3)$. The different divisor has degree

$$\Delta = (2g(\mathcal{H}_{q^3}) - 2) - |G|(2g(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q) - 2) = q^6 - q^3 - 2 - |G|(q^4 - 2q^3 + q - 2)\,. \quad (2)$$

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula,

$$\frac{q^9+1}{q^7-q^6+q^4+1} = \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{q^3}(\mathbb{F}_{q^6})|}{|\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^6})|} \leq |G| \leq \frac{2g(\mathcal{H}_{q^3})-2}{2g(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)-2} = \frac{q^6-q^3-2}{q^4-2q^3+q-2}\,,$$

hence

$$q^2+q+1 \leq |G| \leq q^2+2q+4.$$

Lemma 19. *If $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q \cong \mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$, then*

$$|G| \mid |\mathrm{PGU}(3,q^3)|\,,\ \ q^2+q+1 \leq |G| \leq q^2+2q+4\,,\ \ |G| \notin \{q^2+q+1, q^2+2q+1\}.$$

Proof. **Case $|G| = q^2+q+1$.** Since $|G|$ divides q^3-1 and is coprime with q^3+1, we have by [14, Theorem 2.7] that $\Delta = 2(q^2+q)$. This contradicts Equation (2).

Case $|G| = q^2+2q+1 = (q+1)^2$. By [9, Theorem A.10], $\mathrm{PGU}(3,q^3)$ contains only two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups whose order is divided by $|G|$:

- The stabilizer M_1 of a self-conjugate triangle T, of order $|M_1| = 6(q^3+1)^2$.
- The stabilizer M_2 of a non-tangent line ℓ, of order $|M_2| = q^3(q^6-1)(q+1)$. The center Z of M_2 has order q^3+1 and is a cyclic group of homologies acting trivially on ℓ. The group M_2/Z acts faithfully on ℓ as a linear group, hence it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q^6)$. M_2/Z acts on the q^3+1 points of $\ell \cap \mathcal{H}_{q^3}$; by the structure of M_2, we have that $M_2 \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2,q^3)$, and the action of M_2 on $\ell \cap \mathcal{H}_{q^3}$ is equivalent to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q^3)$ in its natural 2-transitive permutation representation.

Suppose that $G \subseteq M_2$. The group $G/(Z \cap G)$ acts faithfully on ℓ and is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q^3)$. Since $|G \cap Z|$ is a divisor of $q+1$, we have that $|G|/(q+1) = q+1$ is a divisor of $|G/(G \cap Z)|$; in particular, $|G/(G \cap Z)|$ divides q^3+1. By [11, Hauptsatz 8.27], $G/(Z \cap G)$ is a cyclic Singer group with two fixed points P_1, P_2 on $\ell \setminus \mathcal{H}_{q^3}$. The pole P_3 of ℓ is also fixed by G; hence, G fixes a self-conjugate triangle T. Then $G \subseteq M_1$.

Up to conjugation, T is the fundamental triangle, so that

$$M_1 = \{\mathrm{diag}(\lambda,\mu,1) \mid \lambda^{q^3+1} = \mu^{q^3+1} = 1\} \rtimes Sym(3),$$

where $Sym(3)$ is the group of 3×3 permutation matrices. The only subgroup of order $(q+1)^2$ in M_1 is

$$G = \{\mathrm{diag}(\lambda, \mu, 1) \mid \lambda^{q+1} = \mu^{q+1} = 1\} \cong C_{q+1} \times C_{q+1}.$$

With the notations of [14, Lemma 2.2], G contains exactly $3q$ elements of type (A) and $q^2 - q$ elements of type (B1). Then by [14, Theorem 2.7] we have $\Delta = 3q(q^3+1)$. The same value for Δ is obtained by Equation (2), that is, the curves $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ actually have the same genus.

The group G is normal in M_1, thus M_1/G is an automorphism group of $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$ of order $|M_1/G| = 6(q^2-q+1)^2$. Since $|M_1/G|$ is not a divisor of $|\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q)| = (q^3+1)q^3(q-1)(q-3q_0+1)$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G \ncong \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$. □

By the proof of Lemma 19 we get the following remark.

Remark 20. *For any odd power $q \geq 27$ of 3, let $G \leq \mathrm{PGU}(3, q^3)$ with $|G| = (q+1)^2$ (G is unique up to conjugation). Then the curves $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ have the same genus but are not isomorphic, since they have different automorphism groups.*

Proposition 21. *The curve $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{27}$ is not Galois covered by $\mathcal{H}_{27^3}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{27} \cong \mathcal{H}_{27^3}/G$. For $q = 27$, Lemma 19 implies $|G| = 777 = 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 37$. By Sylow theorems, G has a unique Sylow 7-subgroup S_7 and a unique Sylow 37-subgroup S_{37}, so that G is an inner semidirect product $(C_7 \times C_{37}) \rtimes C_3 \cong C_{259} \rtimes C_3$. We make use of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.7 in [14]. The elements of C_{259} are of type (A) or (B1), the elements of order 3 are of type (C) or (D), and the elements of order $3d$ with $1 < d \mid 259$ are of type (E).

If C_3 is generated by an element of type (D), then any non-trivial element $\sigma \in G \setminus C_{259}$ is of type (D) and $i(\sigma) = 2$. Then $\Delta = 517 \cdot 2 + i \cdot (27^3+1) + (258-i) \cdot 0$, where $i \in \{0, 6, 36, 258\}$ is the number of elements of type (A). This contradicts (2).

If C_3 is generated by element of type (C), then C_{259} cannot contain elements of type (B1); otherwise, elements of type (C) act on the vertices of a triangle, which is impossible. Therefore $\Delta \geq 258 \cdot (27^3+1)$, contradicting (2). □

Theorem 4. *For $q \leq 3^{21}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is not Galois covered by $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}$.*

Proof. For $q = 3^3$, the claim is Proposition 21. For $q = 3^7$, if $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q \cong \mathcal{H}_{q^3}/G$, then by Lemma 19 we have $|G| = 4785321$, which is not a divisor of any maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{PGU}\left(3, (3^7)^3\right)$. For $q = 3^5$ or $3^9 \leq q \leq 3^{21}$, there exists no $G \leq \mathrm{PGU}(3, q^3)$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 19. □

It is not difficult to check that, if $G \leq \mathrm{PGU}(3, q^3)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 19, then the order of G is a multiple of 3.

Conjecture 22. *For infinitely many values of q, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_q$ is not Galois covered by $\mathcal{H}_{q^3}$.*

References

[1] N.L. Biggs and A.T. White, *Permutation Groups and Combinatorial Structures*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1979).

[2] E. Çakak and F. Özbudak, *Subfields of the function field of the Deligne-Lusztig curve of Ree type*, Acta Arith. **115** (2), 133–180 (2004).

[3] P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, Springer, Berlin (1968).

[4] A. Garcia, C. Güneri, and H. Stichtenoth, *A generalization of the Giulietti-Korchmáros maximal curve*, Adv. Geom. **10**, 427–434 (2010).

[5] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, and C.P. Xing, *On subfields of the Hermitian function field*, Compositio Math. **120** (2), 137–170 (2000).

[6] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, *A new family of maximal curves over a finite field*, Math. Ann. **343** (1), 229–245 (2009).

[7] M. Giulietti and G. Korchmáros, *Automorphism groups of algebraic curves with p-rank zero*, J. London Math. Soc. **81** (2), 277–296 (2010).

[8] M. Giulietti, G. Korchmáros, and F. Torres, *Quotient curves of the Deligne-Lusztig curve of Suzuki type*, Acta Arith. **122**, 245–274 (2006).

[9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros, and F. Torres, *Algebraic Curves over a Finite Field*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton (2008).

[10] C. Hu and C. A. Zhao, *Multi-point codes from generalized Hermitian curves*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **62** (5) 2726–2736 (2016).

[11] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **134** Springer, Berlin (1967).

[12] W.N. Kantor, M.E. O'Nan, and G.M. Seitz, 2-*Transitive Groups in Which the Stabilizer of Two Points is Cyclic*, J. Algebra **21**, 17–50 (1972).

[13] K. Lauter, *Deligne-Lusztig curves as ray class fields*, Manuscripta Math. **98** (1), 87–96 (1999).

[14] M. Montanucci and G. Zini, *Some Ree and Suzuki curves are not Galois covered by the Hermitian curve*, arXiv:1603.06706.

[15] C. Munuera, A. Seplveda, and F. Torres, *Generalized Hermitian codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **69** (1), 123–130 (2013).

[16] J.P. Pedersen, *A function field related to the Ree group*, Coding Theory and Algebraic Geometry, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, **1518**, 122–132. Springer, Berlin (1992).

[17] D.C. Skabelund, *New maximal curves as ray class fields over Deligne-Lusztig curves*, arXiv:1605.05428v2.

[18] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **254**. Springer, Berlin (2009).